

REMARKS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed January 9, 2006. Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's statement that claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-17 contain allowable subject matter. Claim 8 has been amended. No new matter has been included. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-17 remain pending in the present application.

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pain (U.S. Patent No. 5,886,659) in view of Long (U.S. Patent No. 5,811,984), and further in view of Pickering (U.S. Patent No. 5,050,194). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 8 recites, *inter alia*, a sensor comprising: "an active impedance matching device, said active impedance matching device being adapted to match said output impedance of said image processing portion to said input impedance of said image receiving portion by adjusting a bias current with a current source through at least one biased device." As articulated in the Office Action, none of the cited references, Pain, Long or Pickering, when considered alone or in combination disclose, teach or suggest an active impedance matching device "being adapted to match [an] output impedance of [an] image processing portion to [an] input impedance of [an] image receiving portion by adjusting a bias current with a current source through at least one biased device." (Office Action at 6). Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn and the claim allowed.

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: April 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant